

## VU Research Portal

### **Virial theorem in the Kohn-Sham density-functional theory formalism: Accurate calculation of the atomic quantum theory of atoms in molecules energies**

Rodriguez, A.; Ayers, P.W.; Gotz, A.W.; Castillo-Alvarado, F.L.

#### ***published in***

Journal of Chemical Physics  
2009

#### ***DOI (link to publisher)***

[10.1063/1.3160670](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3160670)

#### ***document version***

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

#### ***citation for published version (APA)***

Rodriguez, A., Ayers, P. W., Gotz, A. W., & Castillo-Alvarado, F. L. (2009). Virial theorem in the Kohn-Sham density-functional theory formalism: Accurate calculation of the atomic quantum theory of atoms in molecules energies. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 131(2), 021101. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3160670>

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

#### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

#### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# Virial theorem in the Kohn–Sham density-functional theory formalism: Accurate calculation of the atomic quantum theory of atoms in molecules energies

Juan I. Rodríguez,<sup>1,(a)</sup> Paul W. Ayers,<sup>1</sup> Andreas W. Götz,<sup>2</sup> and F. L. Castillo-Alvarado<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S4M1, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Theoretische Chemie, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1083, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Escuela Superior de Física y Matemáticas-IPN, Edificio 9, U.P. Adolfo López Mateos, Zacatenco, Col. Lindavista, C.P. 07738 Mexico D.F., Mexico

(Received 25 February 2009; accepted 9 June 2009; published online 10 July 2009)

A new approach for computing the atom-in-molecule [quantum theory of atoms in molecule (QTAIM)] energies in Kohn–Sham density-functional theory is presented and tested by computing QTAIM energies for a set of representative molecules. In the new approach, the contribution for the correlation-kinetic energy ( $T_c$ ) is computed using the density-functional theory virial relation. Based on our calculations, it is shown that the conventional approach where atomic energies are computed using only the noninteracting part of the kinetic energy might be in error by hundreds of kJ/mol. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.3160670]

Atomic properties defined within the quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM) are useful to describe and predict phenomena in molecules and materials in fields ranging from solid state physics and x-ray crystallography to drug design and biochemistry.<sup>1</sup> The definition of QTAIM atomic properties is based on quantum mechanical principles and the topology of the electron density.<sup>2</sup> At a stationary point on the potential energy surface, the QTAIM atomic energies can be computed from the  $N$ -electron correlated wave function,  $\Psi$ , using the simple equation,<sup>2</sup>

$$E_{\Omega} = -T_{\Omega} \equiv -\frac{N}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int \cdots \int \nabla_{\vec{r}} \Psi^*(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}} \Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) d\vec{r}_2, \dots, d\vec{r}_N d\vec{r} \\ = \frac{N}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int \cdots \int [\Psi^*(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \nabla_{\vec{r}}^2 \Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) + \Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \nabla_{\vec{r}}^2 \Psi^*(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N)] \\ \times d\vec{r}_2, \dots, d\vec{r}_N d\vec{r} \dots \quad (1)$$

(Away from the stationary point there are corrections due to the Feynman forces.<sup>2</sup>) Here,  $E_{\Omega}$  is the energy of an atom with volume  $\Omega$ .

Equation (1) cannot be used directly in Kohn–Sham density-functional theory (KS-DFT) because there the correlated  $N$ -electron wave function is not known.<sup>3</sup> In this paper we will develop alternative expressions, derived from the DFT virial expressions. These expressions were tested with calculations performed using the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) program.<sup>4–6</sup> So the QTAIM atomic energies

complement the set of atomic properties that can be computed with the fast QTAIM method we recently introduced.<sup>7–9</sup>

The virial theorem for a molecule can be expressed as<sup>10,11</sup>

$$E = -T[\rho] - \sum_A X_A \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_A} \quad (2a)$$

or

$$-\frac{V[\rho]}{T[\rho]} = 2 + \frac{1}{T} \sum_A X_A \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_A}. \quad (2b)$$

Here  $E$  is the total molecular electronic energy,  $T[\rho]$  is the (exact) kinetic energy functional,  $X_a$  are the nuclear coordinates, and  $V[n]$  is the potential energy functional.<sup>10</sup> For a molecule at its equilibrium geometry Eq. (2) reduces to

$$E = -T[\rho], \quad (3a)$$

$$-\frac{V[\rho]}{T[\rho]} = 2. \quad (3b)$$

In the conventional KS formalism,  $T[\rho]$  is given by<sup>11–13</sup>

TABLE I. Values of the virial ratio for both LDA and GGA calculations.

Molecule	LDA		GGA-PBE	
	$\gamma'$	$\gamma$	$\gamma'$	$\gamma$
H <sub>2</sub> O	2.006 02	2.000 40	2.003 95	2.000 38
NH <sub>3</sub>	2.007 26	2.000 21	2.004 74	2.000 20
CH <sub>2</sub> O	2.006 33	2.000 36	2.004 10	2.000 36
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	2.007 68	2.000 27	2.005 07	2.000 34
Fe(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	2.002 71	2.000 03	2.001 81	2.000 05
Cr(CO) <sub>6</sub>	2.003 10	2.000 11	2.002 01	2.000 13

<sup>a)</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: jirodriguez@cinvestav.mx. Present address: Departamento de Química, CINVESTAV, Avenida Instituto Politécnico Nacional 2508, A.P. 14-740 México D.F. 07000, México.

$$T[\rho] = T_s + T_c. \quad (4)$$

term of the right-hand side of Eq. (6) can be integrated by parts,<sup>14</sup>

$T_s$  is the noninteracting kinetic energy which can be expressed by inserting the KS determinant in Eq. (1), or in terms of the KS orbitals  $\psi_i(\vec{r})$ ,

$$T_s = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int \psi_i^*(\vec{r}) \nabla^2 \psi_i(\vec{r}) d\vec{r}. \quad (5)$$

$T_c$  is the correlation-kinetic energy, which can be computed as<sup>11</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} T_c &= -E_{xc}[\rho] - \int \rho(\vec{r}) \vec{r} \cdot \nabla V_{xc}(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} \\ &= -\int \{ \varepsilon_{xc}(\vec{r}) + \rho(\vec{r}) \vec{r} \cdot \nabla V_{xc}(\vec{r}) \} d\vec{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$E_{xc}$ ,  $V_{xc}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{xc}$  are the exchange-correlation (xc) energy, the xc potential, and the xc energy density, respectively. To avoid the (numerical) evaluation of the gradient of  $V_{xc}$ , the last

$$\begin{aligned} E &= - \int \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i^*(\vec{r}) \nabla^2 \psi_i(\vec{r}) - \varepsilon_{xc}(\vec{r}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (3\rho(\vec{r}) + \vec{r} \cdot \nabla \rho(\vec{r})) V_{xc}(\vec{r}) \right\} d\vec{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (7a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int \kappa(\vec{r}) d\vec{r}, \\ \kappa &\equiv - \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i^*(\vec{r}) \nabla^2 \psi_i(\vec{r}) - \varepsilon_{xc}(\vec{r}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (3\rho(\vec{r}) + \vec{r} \cdot \nabla \rho(\vec{r})) V_{xc}(\vec{r}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7b)$$

The potential energy functional is given by<sup>11,12</sup>

TABLE II. Values of  $T_s$ ,  $T_c$ , and the QTAIM atomic energies within the LDA approximation. The SCF energy is shown in the last column. Units are hartree.

Molecule	$T_s$	$T_c$	$-(T_s+T_c)$	$E'=T_s \times \eta'$	$E=(T_s+T_c) \times \eta$	SCF energy
H <sub>2</sub> O						
O	74.692 27	-0.304 23	-74.388 03	-75.141 89	-74.418 02	
H	0.379 90	0.363 91	-0.743 81	-0.382 19	-0.744 11	
Total	75.452 07	0.423 59	-75.875 66	-75.906 26	-75.906 25	-75.906 25
NH <sub>3</sub>						
N	54.309 17	-0.844 52	-53.464 65	-54.703 21	-53.475 97	
H	0.464 06	0.412 26	-0.876 32	-0.467 43	-0.876 51	
Total	55.701 36	0.392 26	-56.093 62	-56.105 50	-56.105 49	-56.105 50
CH <sub>2</sub> O						
C	36.919 22	-0.739 37	-36.179 85	-37.152 82	-36.192 72	
O	74.863 36	0.212 44	-75.075 80	-75.337 05	-75.102 52	
H	0.572 04	0.600 52	-1.172 56	-0.575 66	-1.172 97	
Total	112.926 66	0.674 09	-113.600 76	-113.641 19	-113.641 19	-113.641 19
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>						
C	37.488 90	-1.052 78	-36.436 12	-37.776 83	-36.445 95	
H	0.582 43	1.334 83	-1.917 26	-0.586 90	-1.917 78	
Total	228.427 98	1.692 28	-230.120 26	-230.182 42	-230.182 36	-230.182 39
Fe(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>						
Fe	1259.6627	-0.8370	-1258.8257	-1263.0793	-1258.8666	
C	37.5263	-0.6798	-36.8465	-37.6281	-36.8477	
H	0.5677	1.2031	-1.7708	-0.5692	-1.7709	
Total	1640.6031	4.3963	-1644.9994	-1645.0529	-1645.0528	-1645.0529
Cr(CO) <sub>6</sub>						
Cr	1040.8165	-1.3822	-1039.4343	-1044.0428	-1039.5506	
C	36.8912	-2.5203	-34.3709	-37.0055	-34.3748	
O	75.0018	3.6031	-78.6050	-75.2343	-78.6137	
Total	1712.1744	5.1151	-1717.2895	-1717.4817	-1717.4816	-1717.4816

$$V[\rho] = V_{\text{KS}}[\rho] - T_c = \int \rho(\vec{r}) \left\{ - \sum_A^M \frac{Z_A}{|\vec{r} - \vec{X}_A|} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\rho(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} + \varepsilon_{\text{xc}}(\vec{r}) \right\} d\vec{r} - T_c. \quad (8)$$

From Eqs. (4)–(6), (7a), (7b), and (8), Eq. (3) can be rewritten as

$$E = -(T_s + T_c) = \int \kappa(\vec{r}) d\vec{r}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\gamma \equiv - \frac{V_{\text{KS}} - T_c}{T_s + T_c} = 2. \quad (9b)$$

Equation (9) establishes the virial theorem in KS-DFT for a molecule at its equilibrium geometry. Now, since the QTAIM atomic volumes form a nonoverlapping partition of the space,  $R^3 = \cup_A \Omega_A$ ,<sup>1,2</sup> the energy of atom *A* can be defined using Eq. (9a),

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\Omega_A} &\equiv \int_{\Omega_A} \kappa(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} \equiv -T_s^{\Omega_A} - T_c^{\Omega_A} \\ &\equiv - \int_{\Omega_A} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i^*(\vec{r}) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \right) \psi_i(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega_A} \{ -\varepsilon_{\text{xc}}(\vec{r}) \\ &\quad + (3\rho(\vec{r}) + \vec{r} \cdot \nabla \rho(\vec{r})) V_{\text{xc}}(\vec{r}) \} d\vec{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and the molecular energy is the sum of the atomic energies,

$$E = \sum_A E_{\Omega_A}. \quad (11)$$

No approximations were made in Eqs. (2)–(11) so these equations are *exact*. For approximate KS calculations, however, Eqs. (3) [and thus Eqs. (9)] are not satisfied exactly because of the finite basis set, the imperfect geometry optimization, and (most importantly) the approximate xc functional. Table I shows our calculations of the virial ratio,  $\gamma$  [Eq. (9b)], for a set of representative molecules. All calculations reported here were performed with a development version of ADF using a TZP Slater basis at the equilibrium

TABLE III. Values of  $T_s$ ,  $T_c$ , and the QTAIM atomic energies within the GGA (PBE) approximation. The SCF energy is shown in the last column. Units are hartree.

Molecule	$T_s$	$T_c$	$-(T_s + T_c)$	$E' = T_s \times \eta'$	$E = (T_s + T_c) \times \eta$	SCF Energy
H <sub>2</sub> O						
O	75.272 39	-0.487 56	-74.784 83	-75.569 76	-74.813 36	
H	0.404 95	0.379 49	-0.784 44	-0.406 55	-0.784 74	
Total	76.082 30	0.271 41	-76.353 71	-76.382 87	-76.382 84	-76.382 85
NH <sub>3</sub>						
N	54.764 44	-1.016 31	-53.748 14	-55.024 07	-53.758 99	
H	0.494 18	0.423 85	-0.918 03	-0.496 52	-0.918 21	
Total	56.246 98	0.255 23	-56.502 22	-56.513 63	-56.513 62	-56.513 63
CH <sub>2</sub> O						
C	37.283 93	-0.875 97	-36.407 96	-37.436 82	-36.421 18	
O	75.452 95	0.069 99	-75.522 94	-75.762 35	-75.550 36	
H	0.608 99	0.615 87	-1.224 87	-0.611 49	-1.225 31	
Total	113.954 87	0.425 77	-114.380 63	-114.422 15	-114.422 16	-114.422 16
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>						
C	37.859 49	-1.208 07	-36.651 42	-38.051 62	-36.663 97	
H	0.616 12	1.390 08	-2.006 19	-0.619 24	-2.006 88	
Total	230.853 62	1.092 05	-231.945 67	-232.025 17	-232.025 10	-232.025 14
Fe(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>						
Fe	1262.2958	-1.1179	-1261.1779	-1264.5862	-1261.2471	
C	37.9025	-0.8611	-37.0415	-37.9713	-37.0435	
H	0.5952	1.2627	-1.8579	-0.5963	-1.8580	
Total	1647.2732	2.8982	-1650.1714	-1650.2621	-1650.2620	-1650.2621
Cr(CO) <sub>6</sub>						
Cr	1043.2084	-1.6351	-1041.5733	-1045.3090	-1041.7058	
C	37.2918	-2.6980	-34.5937	-37.3669	-34.5981	
O	75.5902	3.5114	-79.1015	-75.7424	-79.1116	
Total	1720.5000	3.2451	-1723.7451	-1723.9643	-1723.9642	-1723.9643

geometry. We used the Dirac exchange functional<sup>15</sup> with the Vosko–Wilk–Nusair correlation functional<sup>16</sup> for the local density approximation (LDA) calculations and the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional<sup>17</sup> for the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) calculations. (ADF default parameters were used unless otherwise stated.<sup>4–6</sup>) From Table I we can see that the value of  $\gamma$  is not strictly 2 but there is a small deviation due to the reasons mentioned above. Table I also shows the value of the ratio between  $V_{\text{KS}}$  and  $T_s$ ,

$$\gamma' \equiv -\frac{V_{\text{KS}}}{T_s}, \quad (12)$$

which is also close to 2 because  $T_c$  is much smaller ( $<1\%$ ) than either  $T_s$  and  $|V_{\text{KS}}|$ . Unsurprisingly, the virial theorem is more nearly satisfied when the contribution from  $T_c$  is included; this suggests that  $T_c$  should be included in QTAIM computations of atomic energies.

Because there is a small error in Eq. (9a), there is also a small error in Eq. (11). In order to overcome this problem, it is conventional to correct the atomic energies by scaling them with the so-called virial factor  $\eta$ ,<sup>2,18–24</sup>

$$E_{\Omega} = (1 - \gamma)(T_s^{\Omega} + T_c^{\Omega}) \equiv \eta(T_s^{\Omega} + T_c^{\Omega}). \quad (13)$$

Tables II and III show our calculations for the QTAIM scaled and unscaled energies. To perform the QTAIM atomic integrations we used the fast grid-based method recently introduced;<sup>7–9</sup> we ensured that every atomic integration was accurate to at least  $L_{\Omega} \sim 10^{-3}$  a.u..<sup>7–9</sup> In Tables II and III, we can see that energies obtained using the atomic virial theorem without the  $T_c$  correction,

$$E'_{\Omega} = (1 - \gamma')T_s^{\Omega} \equiv \eta'(T_s^{\Omega}), \quad (14)$$

can be considered a first approximation to the atomic energies, Eq. (13). The biggest differences between the “first approximation” ( $E'_{\Omega}$ ) and the improved result from Eq. (13) ( $E_{\Omega}$ ) occur for hydrogen atoms; the atomic energies of hydrogen atoms sometimes differ by more than 100%. The importance of these differences will depend on the particular application where QTAIM is used. For example, recently Vila and Mosquera<sup>25</sup> defined the strain energy of oxiranes based on the (noncorrected) QTAIM atomic energies obtained from KS-DFT calculations [Eq. (14)]. Their strain energy definition is in terms of relative differences between the QTAIM energies of the constituent atoms. Thus some of their conclusions might be modified when the correct KS-DFT QTAIM energies are used [Eq. (13)]. (See Ref. 26 for another interesting chemical application of QTAIM energies that might be affected by the conclusions of the present article.)

The virial factor  $\eta$  ( $\eta'$ ) effectively corrects the “virial theorem error” from approximate KS calculations and allows the SCF molecular energy to be evaluated as the sum of the atomic energies. Notice that the atomic correlation-kinetic energy is sometimes quite negative, especially on heavier atoms. This is not an error: It is possible for the atomic  $T_c$  to be negative even though the global quantity will always be positive because of the enormous freedom one has in choosing a definition for the local kinetic energy.<sup>27–29</sup>

In conclusion, in this letter we derived, for the first time, exact formulas for the QTAIM atomic energies within the

KS-DFT formalism. Comparing results from the new formula, Eq. (13), to the conventional atomic energy formula for DFT-based QTAIM calculations, Eq. (14), reveals significant differences, especially for Hydrogen atoms. This suggests that it is important to include the contribution of the correlation-kinetic energy in KS-DFT-based calculations of QTAIM atomic energies.

J.I.R. gratefully acknowledges the *Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam* theoretical chemistry group and all SCM people for hosting him during the development of this work and for sharing their computer facilities. J.I.R. also thanks Miss Silvia Dimitrova for her insightful discussions and for THDs. J.I.R. and P.W.A. acknowledge research support from NSERC and insightful and encouraging discussions with Richard Bader. A.W.G. would like to thank Professor L. Visscher for generous support and is grateful for funding by NWO in the form of a VICI grant for L. Visscher.

<sup>1</sup>The *Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules: From Solid State to DNA and Drug Design*, edited by C. F. Matta and R. J. Boyd (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007).

<sup>2</sup>R. F. W. Bader, *Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1990).

<sup>3</sup>W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, A1133 (1965).

<sup>4</sup>G. te Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, S. J. A. van Gisbergen, C. Fonseca Guerra, E. J. Baerends, J. G. Snijders, and T. Ziegler, *J. Comput. Chem.* **22**, 931 (2001).

<sup>5</sup>C. Fonseca Guerra, J. G. Snijders, G. te Velde, and E. J. Baerends, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **99**, 391 (1998).

<sup>6</sup>ADF2008, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, <http://www.scm.com>.

<sup>7</sup>J. I. Rodríguez, Ph.D. dissertation, McMaster University, 2008.

<sup>8</sup>J. I. Rodríguez, A. M. Köster, P. W. Ayers, A. Santos-Valle, A. Vela, and G. Merino, *J. Comput. Chem.* **30**, 1082 (2009).

<sup>9</sup>J. I. Rodríguez, R. F. W. Bader, P. W. Ayers, C. Michel, A. W. Götz, and C. Bo, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **472**, 149 (2009).

<sup>10</sup>S. K. Ghosh and R. G. Parr, *J. Chem. Phys.* **82**, 3307 (1985).

<sup>11</sup>M. Levy and J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. A* **32**, 2010 (1985).

<sup>12</sup>W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).

<sup>13</sup>S. K. Ghosh and V. A. Singh, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1**, 1971 (1989).

<sup>14</sup>H. Ou-Yang and M. Levy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 1036 (1990).

<sup>15</sup>P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **26**, 376 (1930).

<sup>16</sup>S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk, and M. Nusair, *Can. J. Phys.* **58**, 1200 (1980).

<sup>17</sup>J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).

<sup>18</sup>C. F. Matta and R. J. Boyd, in *The Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules: From Solid State to DNA and Drug Design*, edited by C. F. Matta and R. J. Boyd (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007).

<sup>19</sup>T. A. Keith, AIMALL (Dev. Version), 2008, [aim.tkgristmill.com](http://aim.tkgristmill.com).

<sup>20</sup>T. Keith, in *The Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules: From Solid State to DNA and Drug Design*, edited by C. F. Matta and R. J. Boyd (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007).

<sup>21</sup>MORPHY, a program for an automatic atoms in molecules analysis; P. L. A. Popelier, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **93**, 212 (1996).

<sup>22</sup>C. Gatti, *TOPOND-98: An Electron Density Topological Program for Systems Periodic in N (N=0–3) Dimensions*, User's Manual (CN-IRSTM, Milano, 1999).

<sup>23</sup>C. Gatti, V. R. Saunders, and C. Roetti, *J. Chem. Phys.* **101**, 10686 (1994).

<sup>24</sup>C. Gatti and F. Cargnoni, Proceedings III Convezio Nazionale di Informatica Chimica, Napoli, Italy, 1997 (unpublished).

<sup>25</sup>A. Vila and R. A. Mosquera, *Chem. Phys.* **287**, 125 (2003).

<sup>26</sup>P. M. Quiñonez, A. Vila, A. M. Graña, and R. A. Mosquera, *Chem. Phys.* **287**, 227 (2003).

<sup>27</sup>L. Cohen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **80**, 4277 (1984).

<sup>28</sup>L. Cohen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **70**, 788 (1979).

<sup>29</sup>P. W. Ayers, R. G. Parr, and A. Nagy, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **90**, 309 (2002).